

# Graft

<http://gft.sagepub.com>

---

## **Materials Used for Hemodialysis Vascular Access: Current Strategies and a Call to Action**

Mathias Wilhelmi and Axel Haverich

*Graft* 2003; 6; 6

DOI: 10.1177/1522162802239751

The online version of this article can be found at:  
<http://gft.sagepub.com/cgi/content/abstract/6/1/6>

---

Published by:

 SAGE Publications

<http://www.sagepublications.com>

### **Additional services and information for *Graft* can be found at:**

**Email Alerts:** <http://gft.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts>

**Subscriptions:** <http://gft.sagepub.com/subscriptions>

**Reprints:** <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav>

**Permissions:** <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav>

**Citations** (this article cites 89 articles hosted on the SAGE Journals Online and HighWire Press platforms):  
<http://gft.sagepub.com/cgi/content/refs/6/1/6>

# Materials Used for Hemodialysis Vascular Access: Current Strategies and a Call to Action

Mathias Wilhelmi, MD  
Axel Haverich, MD  
*Hannover Medical School*

Renal replacement programs are an integral therapeutic part of end-stage renal disease. However, the increasing lack of donor organs, associated with an increasing number of patients requiring permanent hemodialysis necessitates the implantation of a hemodialysis access. However, natural vessels are often not or no longer usable after long-standing dialysis. Therefore, arteriovenous grafts, that is, polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) grafts, are used at increasing frequency. However, PTFE grafts especially are associated with two untoward consequences: high rates of arteriovenous access thrombosis and infection. In this review, current strategies are discussed and requirements for future graft materials are defined. Biological implants show relative resistance to infection and rejection. Therefore, biological implants seem to provide the most useful tool in managing complications associated with hemodialysis vascular access. Thus, it is time to develop new strategies and new graft materials that fulfill the "physiological" requirements of an optimal vascular access to prolong patient survival on dialysis, reducing morbidity and escalating costs.

**Keywords:** hemodialysis; vascular access; dialysis; shunt; arteriovenous; renal end-stage disease

## Introduction

Although intermittent hemodialysis for the treatment of patients with acute renal failure was introduced by Kolff in 1943, the development of chronic hemodialysis therapy for end-stage renal disease was not feasible until the introduction of the external arteriovenous shunt by Quinton and colleagues in 1960. Six years later, Brescia and coworkers developed an endogenous radiocephalic arteriovenous fistula.<sup>1</sup> Prosthetic subcutaneous fistulae used as an interpositional bridge were developed shortly thereafter.<sup>2</sup> Although in an area characterized by rapid technical development, no major advances on permanent grafts and only minor refinements in the biomaterials and contours of prosthetic bridge grafts have been made. Thus, over the past 3 decades, the development of permanent hemodialysis access slowed down significantly. During this

time of "nondevelopment," the rapid growth of end-stage renal failure programs has been accompanied by a tremendous increase in hemodialysis vascular access-associated morbidity worldwide.

Most recent evidence suggests that access-related morbidity accounts for at least 25% of all hospital stays of these patients. In the 1st year of dialysis, costs associated with vascular access may constitute up to 50% of all patient care costs.<sup>3-5</sup> In addition to the enormous financial burden, the frequency and unpredictability with which hemodialysis vascular access thrombosis occurs remain enormous frustrations. The same is true for infectious complications.

Infectious complications continue to be among the foremost causes of morbidity and mortality in hemodialysis patients. The tremendous risk of death caused by infection in end-stage renal disease patients is probably best highlighted by Sarnak and

Mathias Wilhelmi, MD  
Division for Thoracic  
and Cardiovascular Surgery  
Hannover Medical School  
Carl-Neuberg-Straße 1  
30625 Hannover, Germany  
Tel: 49.511.532.2393  
Fax: 49.511.532.5404  
e-mail: wilhelmi@thg.mh-hannover.de  
DOI: 10.1177/1522162802239751

Jaber, who compared annual mortality rates caused by sepsis in such patients with the general population.<sup>6</sup> In their study, which relied on data from the United States Renal Data System for the years 1994 to 1996, a 100- to 300-fold higher risk of death caused by sepsis was found in patients with end-stage renal disease. Stratification for age, gender, and the presence of diabetes did not show a significantly altered magnitude of such risk posed by the status of end-stage renal disease.

A major risk factor for infection and bacteraemia is the hemodialysis access itself.<sup>7-11</sup> The incidence of infection caused by the hemodialysis vascular access is highest with central venous catheters and lowest when a native arteriovenous fistula is used.<sup>8,9,11</sup> Especially prosthetic arteriovenous grafts composed of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), which has become a widely used alternative when a native arteriovenous fistula is not surgically feasible, is plagued by infection very often.<sup>12-15</sup>

None of the materials currently used for hemodialysis access fulfill the requirements of an optimal access graft. Thus, the purpose of this review is to give an overview of materials experimentally or clinically used for vascular access and to discuss advantages and disadvantages of these materials.

### Arteriovenous Hemodialysis Access

Arteriovenous hemodialysis accesses include native arteriovenous fistulas and synthetic arteriovenous grafts.

#### *Autogeneous Arteriovenous Fistulae*

First choice of arteriovenous hemodialysis access is the Brescia Cimino radiocephalic fistula.<sup>1</sup> It offers reported patency rates of 64% to 72% and 37% to 72% at 3 and 5 years, respectively.<sup>16-19</sup> Although a surgical end-to-side technique is associated with a lower incidence of venous hypertension symptoms in the hand, neither the side-to-side technique, as described originally, nor the end-of-vein-to-side-of-artery technique is superior in terms of overall patency.<sup>20</sup> If there are no suitable vessels at the wrist, brachiocephalic or elbow fistulae present the next best choice.<sup>21,22</sup> This vascular access allows for patency rates of 50% to 70% and 34% to 53% at 3 and 5 years, respectively.<sup>23-26</sup> Besides low infection, low thrombosis, and so forth, both types of fistulae can be fashioned under local anesthesia, and they

have low complication rates in comparison to other forms of access. They are also relatively resistant to infection compared with constructions using PTFE grafts. Despite infection of 0% to 6% for wrist fistulas<sup>18,27</sup> and 8% to 10% for elbow fistulas,<sup>25,26</sup> the rate of fistula loss due to infection is low: 0% to 1% for both types of construction.<sup>16,18,23,25,27</sup>

However, brachiocephalic fistulas, although to a lesser extent than arteriovenous accesses of the lower limb, are associated with a high incidence (10% to 20%) of vascular steal phenomena.<sup>23,28</sup> "Steal" means a significant proportion of the arterial flow to be shunted back toward the heart with more distal vascular areas becoming ischemic. Diabetes and preexisting vascular disease represent risk factors for arterial steal. Clinically, a patient presents with classical signs of distal limb ischemia that develops gradually within weeks after fistula construction or, occasionally, as acute nerve ischemia in the postoperative period. In a proportion of patients, symptoms improve without treatment if they persist; however, treatment options include banding or plication of the fistula, with intraoperative flow monitoring to assess the required degree of luminal narrowing.<sup>29</sup> It may also be possible to ligate the artery to the arm distal to the fistula anastomosis. Successful restoration of function has been reported in more than 90% of patients using this latter technique.<sup>30,31</sup> If such interventions are unsuccessful, ligation of the fistula is required.

Another alternative is the transposed autologous brachiocephalic arteriovenous fistula. It is fashioned by mobilizing the basilic vein from its subfascial bed, transposing it to a subcutaneous tunnel on the anterior surface of the arm, and anastomosing it to the brachial artery at the level of the antecubital fossa.<sup>20,22</sup> The procedure is technically feasible in 95% to 98% of cases<sup>29,32</sup> owing to the subfascial location of the vein protecting it from previous venipuncture. It has the advantages of resistance to infection as well as requiring only 1 anastomosis. This latter feature has the double benefit of avoiding the proximal anastomosis associated with outflow stenosis in PTFE grafts and not compromising the insertion of a prosthetic graft at a later date, should this become necessary. Secondary patency rates of these alternatives are roughly equal, with 3-year patency rates ranging from 43% to 64% for transposed brachiocephalic fistulae.

lae<sup>24,26,33,34</sup> and 40% to 59% for PTFE arteriovenous grafts.

Other disadvantages of autogeneous arteriovenous fistulae are long periods of maturation (up to 4 months for wrist fistulae) and a resulting high proportion of fistulae that fail before being used for dialysis (11% to 30%).<sup>18,19,23,27</sup> Attempts to improve the rate of maturation have included hand exercise, with or without a light tourniquet; however, there is no evidence to support this approach. Although some studies have reported higher rates of primary failure, delayed maturation, and lower patency rates in wrist fistulae, especially in the elderly<sup>35</sup> and diabetic<sup>36</sup> patient, other studies have reported no differences in outcome in elderly and diabetic patients<sup>37,38</sup>; an appreciable number of patients with diabetes achieve successful dialysis via radiocephalic fistulae (30% to 80%),<sup>36,39</sup> with no differences in dialysis efficiency between diabetic and nondiabetic patients.<sup>36</sup>

Venous occlusive disease is another complication of arteriovenous fistulae. More than 60% of arteriovenous fistulae fail because of thrombosis in the venous circulation.<sup>40</sup> These stenoses are histologically characterized by neointimal hyperplasia,<sup>41,42</sup> a pathological response of vascular tissue injury.<sup>43</sup> Stenosis in an autogeneous arteriovenous fistula occurs predominantly within 2 to 3 cm of the arteriovenous anastomosis, or at needling sites and calcified venous valves.<sup>44</sup> Central venous stenosis, typically subclavian vein stenosis, accounts for roughly 40% of venous stenoses associated with arteriovenous fistulae.<sup>45</sup> Central venous cannulation, a nondenuding stretching injury produced by blood flow at arterial pressure, as well as endothelial trauma from repeated venipuncture with a subsequent release of mitogens from the activated platelet plug required to stop bleeding,<sup>46</sup> are discussed as underlying pathomechanisms.

#### *PTFE Arteriovenous Grafts*

In the absence of suitable superficial veins in the arm, the next best type of access is a choice between the insertion of a prosthetic arteriovenous graft and the transposed autologous brachiocephalic arteriovenous fistula.<sup>47</sup> In practice, however, use of artificial grafts appears to be predominant, as shown by a large US national survey (1995) conducted by the

Centers for Disease Control and involving 224,954 hemodialysis patients. According to these data, only 22% of patients were dialysed through a native arteriovenous fistula, although an autologous vascular access is superior to PTFE grafts.<sup>48</sup> In the United States, the majority of current arteriovenous grafts are made of PTFE material. These grafts have gained widespread popularity because of ease of placement at sites where it is surgically unfeasible to create a native arteriovenous fistula. However, this surgical success has created 2 untoward consequences: high rates of arteriovenous access thrombosis and infection.<sup>13,14,28,49,50</sup> In the United States, the vast majority of arteriovenous access-related infections are associated with PTFE grafts.

Expanded PTFE was first used as a conduit for vascular access by Volder and coworkers.<sup>51</sup> PTFE has the advantage of easy handling and ready availability, it can be inserted under local anesthesia in a wide variety of configurations (depending on the available vascular anatomy), and, if necessary, it can be needled immediately for hemodialysis (see Table 1).

However, in comparison to transposed brachiocephalic arteriovenous fistulas, PTFE grafts are associated with much higher complication rates.<sup>34</sup> The primary patency rate for PTFE grafts is significantly lower than for brachiocephalic fistulae and requires up to 1 to 4 further procedures per year to maintain patency.<sup>52</sup> In general, large centers with active prospective surveillance programs present secondary patency rates for PTFE grafts of approximately 50% at 3 years. This is achieved at the expense of a 3- to 6-fold increase in the number of procedures compared to native fistulas.<sup>53</sup>

More than 80% of all PTFE grafts fail because of thrombosis in the venous circulation.<sup>40</sup> Of these, 50% to 70% occur within 3 cm of the graft-to-vein anastomoses.<sup>54,55</sup> Central venous stenoses associated with arteriovenous PTFE grafts—typically subclavian vein stenoses—account for roughly 20% of venous stenoses.<sup>44</sup> Differences in the incidence and distribution of venous stenosis related to arteriovenous fistulae and arteriovenous grafts are largely due to an accelerated rate of stenosis at the graft-to-vein anastomosis associated with the PTFE grafts.<sup>53</sup> They reflect different etiological factors in each type of fistula. As described above, in autogeneous arteriovenous fistulae, these include a nondenuding

**Table 1 | FEATURES OF CURRENTLY KNOWN BIOLOGICAL VASCULAR ACCESSES**

TYPE OF VASCULAR ACCESS MATERIAL	ORIGIN	CHOICE	PATENCY RATE			INFECTION	DISADVANTAGES
			1 YEAR	3 YEARS	5 YEARS		
Radiocephalic fistula	Biological (autogenous)	1st		64%-72%	37%-72%	0%-6%	Long periods of maturation Degeneration because of repeated needle puncture Intimal hyperplasia Steal phenomenon
Brachiocephalic and elbow fistulae	Biological (autogenous)	2nd		50%-70%	34%-53%	8%-10%	Long periods of maturation Degeneration because of repeated needle puncture Intimal hyperplasia Steal phenomenon
Transposed brachiocephalic arteriovenous fistula	Biological (autogenous)	3rd		43%-69%	No data	8%-10%	Long periods of maturation Degeneration because of repeated needle puncture Intimal hyperplasia
Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)	Artificial	4th	79.0%	40%-59%	No data	8%-19%	No resistance against infection Vast majority of infections are associated with PTFE 3- to 6-fold increase in the number of procedures to maintain patency (as compared to native fistulae) More than 80% of PTFE grafts fail due to thrombosis Size mismatches between native vessels and PTFE graft Accelerated intimal hyperplasia High primary (graft) and secondary (access care) costs
Bovine carotid arteries	Biological (xenogeneic)	No use	73%-75%	No data	No data	9%-10%	High primary (graft) and secondary (access care) costs Difficult to obtain High rate of pseudoaneurysm formation Graft rejection? Long periods of maturation Graft thrombosis within 12 months (53%)
Human umbilical vein graft	Biological (allogeneic)	No use	No data	No data	No data	High rates of infection	High primary (graft) and secondary (access care) costs Difficult to obtain High rate of pseudoaneurysm formation Graft rejection? Long periods of maturation Degeneration because of repeated needle puncture Intimal hyperplasia
Cryopreserved vein grafts	Biological (allogeneic)	Last choice	72%-75%	No data	No data	No data	Graft thrombosis within 12 months (22%) Intimal hyperplasia Graft rejection? Long periods of maturation Degeneration because of repeated needle puncture Intimal hyperplasia
Fresh human saphenous veins	Biological (allogeneic)	Last choice	82.0%	No data	No data	No data	Graft thrombosis within 12 months (17%) Intimal hyperplasia Graft rejection? Long periods of maturation Degeneration because of repeated needle puncture Intimal hyperplasia

stretching injury produced by blood flow at arterial pressure and endothelial trauma from repeated venipuncture with a subsequent release of mitogens

from the activated platelet plug required to stop bleeding.<sup>46</sup> With PTFE grafts, the accelerated intimal hyperplasia found in proximity to the anasto-

mosis is due to a combination of flow turbulence, surgical trauma, compliance mismatch between vein and graft,<sup>56</sup> and the release of growth factors from fibrin and platelets that accumulate on the luminal surface.<sup>57</sup> Attempts to address some of these problems by increased graft compliance<sup>58</sup> or reduced thrombogenicity<sup>57-61</sup> are largely experimental and have produced mixed results. A wider use of pharmaceutical agents to reduce intimal hyperplasia seems warranted, but there are few reported trials of such agents in the context of hemodialysis vascular access.

If both the nondominant and dominant arm vessels are exhausted, remaining options for long-term access are leg or thigh grafts involving the subclavian or axillary vessels. The patency rate of PTFE thigh grafts has been reported to be approximately 50% at 2 years.<sup>28</sup> Graft infection is a particular risk in the groin, and it is associated with anastomotic dehiscence, hemorrhage, and death. The incidence of groin infection in PTFE grafts ranges from 16% to 35%,<sup>28,62</sup> and other reports of mixed PTFE and biological arteriovenous thigh grafts describe a leg amputation rate of 22% and a mortality rate of 18% attributable to infection.<sup>63</sup> Another complicating factor is the high incidence of peripheral vascular disease in renal patients with resulting high rates of steal phenomena (16%) and subsequent amputation (7%).<sup>52</sup> Due to these risks, the insertion of leg grafts should be seen as a last resort in those for whom all other options for long-term access have been exhausted.

### Alternative Biological Implants

#### *Bovine Carotid Arteries and Umbilical Veins*

An alternative approach for vascular access using biological graft material was introduced by Rosenberg and coworkers in 1964.<sup>64</sup> After extensive evaluation in animal studies, bovine carotid grafts were applied as conduits in vascular surgery in 1966.<sup>65</sup> For clinical use, the bovine carotid artery is removed from the calf, and enzymatic digestion is performed with ficin, which removes all muscular and elastic material and leaves an essential collagenous tube. Subsequently, tanning is accomplished by submersing the tube in 1.3% dialdehyde starch solution, providing improved cross-linking of colla-

gen fibers. This procedure was believed to provide a nonantigenic graft.<sup>65,66</sup> After adequate checks for possible leaks, the graft is slid onto a glass rod and placed in tubes containing a sterilizing solution prepared with 1% propylene oxide in 40% aqueous ethyl alcohol. The grafts vary from 20 cm to 45 cm in length; diameters range from 8 mm to 12 mm.

Before 1980, many groups described the usefulness of bovine heterografts for chronic venous access in patients in whom direct arteriovenous fistula construction was impossible.<sup>67,68</sup> Reported advantages were an immediate use and a high rate of patency (97.5%). Despite early usage, immediate complications were described to be minimal and the long-term complication rate satisfactory (12-month cumulative patency rate of 73.9%).<sup>69</sup> Others reported on its disadvantage of being expensive and occasionally difficult to obtain and advocated PTFE grafts to be superior. Later on, advantages of early PTFE grafts were described as the ability to withstand infection at the puncture site, the ease of repair after the development of false aneurysms in these puncture sites, and the apparent low rate of development of pseudointimal proliferation at the venous anastomosis compared with the rather high rate with bovine heterografts.<sup>70</sup> Subsequently, in the early 1980s, it was concluded that bovine carotid grafts used for vascular access suffered unacceptable rates of infection and aneurysmal degeneration; they have generally been discarded as a vascular conduit for dialysis.

Another biological material used for hemodialysis access was the modified human umbilical vein, which was developed and introduced in the 1970s, too. After a period of about 10 years, like bovine heterografts, this material suffered a similar fate and likewise is now only rarely used.<sup>71</sup>

#### *Cryopreserved Vein Grafts*

A relatively new approach to prevent or at least to minimize access-related infectious complications is the use of cryopreserved superficial human femoral veins as arteriovenous access grafts (CryoLife Inc., Kennesaw, GA, USA). Natural grafts like these have the ability to revascularize their vessel walls in vivo and thus allow the patient to fight and resist infection. Since 1996, more than 500 cryopreserved superficial femoral vein allografts have been

implanted for hemodialysis access, preferentially in the setting of local or systemic infection. Initial results reported by Matsuura and coworkers show a remarkable absence of graft-related infection and rejection. Between 1996 and 1999, they implanted 48 cryopreserved femoral vein grafts in 44 patients. The indication for implantation included patients with infected PTFE arteriovenous grafts (20 patients), sepsis and persistent bacteremia (14 patients), and those who had cryovein arteriovenous grafts implanted for previous multiple graft failures and compromised venous outflow (10 patients). During the same time, 68 patients underwent placement of brachial artery-to-axillary vein arteriovenous grafts using PTFE step grafts (Impra Inc., Phoenix, AZ, USA). However, there were no cases of implantation of PTFE grafts into septic patients or infected fields. Life table analysis indicated a significant difference regarding primary patency between PTFE (69%) versus cryopreserved vein grafts (49%,  $P < 0.01$ ). However, there was no statistically significant difference in the 12-month secondary patency for the cryovein (75%) versus the PTFE grafts (79%,  $P = 0.519$ ). Furthermore, hemodialysis access-related complications such as puncture site bleeding or pseudoaneurysm formation were not seen in the cryovein homograft. As surgeons face older patients with multiple failure of access sites, Matsuura and coworkers concluded that maintaining patency of the few remaining conventional angioaccess locations, especially in the presence of infection, becomes an important priority. They thus stated that because of the relative resistance to infection, cryopreserved homografts provide a useful tool in managing sites of arteriovenous graft infections that might otherwise have been lost for future angioaccess.<sup>72</sup> Observations made by Baraldi and coworkers underscore these findings. They reported on 59 patients who received fresh or cryopreserved femoral human vein arteriovenous grafts. Actuarial patency rates after 1 year were 82% and 72%, respectively. Although no early thrombosis was observed, 17% of fresh and 22% of cryopreserved vein grafts developed thrombosis during the 12-month follow-up. In most cases, this was explained as a result of myointimal hyperplasia in the perianastomotic area of the venous outflow.<sup>73</sup>

There is an ongoing debate among angiologists about whether rejection occurs in venous allografts. Baraldi and coworkers further examined the occurrence of T lymphocyte subsets (CD3, CD4, CD8, and CD4/8), as well as lymphocytotoxic antibodies, before and on days 15 and 30 following implantation of fresh and cryopreserved human femoral veins (allogeneic). Because they did not find evidence of an immunologic activation, they concluded that rejection is not a major cause of failure in cryoveins<sup>74</sup> even in the absence of ABO and HLA-A-B compatibilities.

The low rate of infection as well as the absence of graft rejection in these preliminary reports is promising for patients who have suffered from repeated PTFE graft infections and exhaustion of dialysis arteriovenous access sites. Here, cryopreserved vein allografts may be placed at new sites, but placement at old graft sites seems to be possible despite the presence of intercurrent PTFE graft infection. In such clinical scenarios, Matsuura and colleagues advocate one operation in which the cryovein graft is created at the same site while the infected PTFE graft is being removed. This leads to salvage of angioaccess sites that would have otherwise been abandoned. In keeping with the same concept, lower rates of arteriovenous access-related infection were also observed with the use of denatured homologous vein grafts, as opposed to PTFE grafts, in a prospective randomized multicenter trial.<sup>75</sup>

#### *Features of the "Optimal" Hemodialysis Access*

There is an increasing majority of end-stage renal disease patients in whom an arterialized autogenous venous conduit cannot be constructed or is not durable. Therefore, a substitute conduit must be used. Characteristics of the ideal biomaterial for this purpose include appropriate sizes to match host vessels, mechanical strength, low thrombogenicity, rapid and complete "healing" in subcutaneous tissues, ease of handling by the surgeon and by the dialysis technician or nurse, resistance to infection, structural durability in the face of repeated needle punctures, a low incidence of hyperplastic intimal reactions at interfaces with artery and vein, and low cost.

The luminal surface of an ideal graft must be thromboresistant, while the external surface should

Table 2 | FEATURES OF THE “OPTIMAL” HEMODIALYSIS ACCESS

Appropriate size to match host vessels
Mechanical strength
Low thrombogenicity/complete endothelialization
Rapid/complete healing
Ease of handling by technicians/nurses
Resistance to infection
Structural durability in face of repeated needle puncture
Low incidence of hyperplastic intimal reactions
Low costs

rapidly be incorporated into the surrounding tissues. The tendency toward thromboresistance versus thrombogenicity of biomaterials used in vascular access grafts is largely determined by surface characteristics, types of proteins that selectively and actively adsorb to the graft,<sup>76-78</sup> and the cells that tend to adhere to the graft material.<sup>79</sup> Inflammation at the host-biomaterial interface as a result of bioincompatibility may contribute to the development of intimal hyperplasia in PTFE grafts.<sup>80-83</sup> A potential mechanism in PTFE may be its strong hydrophobic material, which may increase platelet activation.<sup>84-86</sup> Furthermore, macrophages adhere readily to PTFE and become activated with the production of reactive oxygen species and membrane-associated interleukin-1 and tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ).<sup>78,87</sup> Endothelial cells adherent to PTFE bind human leukocytes more readily than control endothelial cells.<sup>88</sup>

A major biological problem with PTFE grafts is their failure to achieve complete in vivo endothelialization.<sup>38</sup> This leads to repetitive episodes of platelet deposition and inflammatory cell activation (platelets, neutrophils, macrophages).<sup>89</sup> It has been suggested that there may be better perigraft tissue in-growth and endothelialization using high-porosity, nonreinforced PTFE grafts (compared to the standard lower porosity reinforced PTFE grafts in clinical use) or with the use of vascular endothelial growth factor.<sup>90-92</sup> Recently, experimental approaches such as the application of shear stress on endothelial cells or retroviral transfection of endothelial cells<sup>93,94</sup> have been shown to increase retention of endothelial cells on graft material in vitro (see Table 2).

#### *Areas of Active Investigation*

Research in vascular prostheses for hemodialysis remains in its infancy. Relatively little is understood about basic blood/surface/host tissue interactions in general; furthermore, the response of various biografts to the special setting of the end-stage renal disease patient—high blood pressures and flows, altered platelet and endothelial cell biology, accelerated atherosclerosis, and thrice-weekly dialysis sessions characterized by multiple needle punctures, the administration of heparin, hypotension or hypertension, and extrinsic compression—further complicate any understanding of the natural history of the vascular biograft in the dialysis patient.

What is known about blood/surface physics and chemistry includes the following. The “optimal” or “critical” surface tension (a function of flow pattern and rate) approximates 10 to 45 dyn/cm: The likelihood of thrombosis rises geometrically at surface tensions below or above this range. Surface composition is relevant to graft patency: Crystalline polymers are more reactive with respect to platelets and leukocytes, whereas hydrophilic surfaces without ionic charge or strong hydrogen bonding appear to minimize thrombosis. Deposition of serum proteins from flowing blood onto a surface is followed by variable deposition of fibrin and platelets. Important evidence suggests that initial deposition of albumin may minimize subsequent blood element deposition,<sup>95</sup> whereas deposition of fibronectin appears to stimulate platelet, fibrin, and blood cell aggregation and deposition.<sup>96</sup> Platelet aggregation, stimulated by damage imparted by shear stress, is critical both for surface thrombosis and “healing” of synthetic grafts.

Other issues include graft porosity. Pore sizes less than 22  $\mu\text{m}$  are considered to be optimal and rapidly increased pseudointimal ingrowth (and thrombotic potential) at larger pore sizes.<sup>97</sup> Furthermore, surface "roughness" affects deposition of proteins or even cells<sup>98</sup>; entrapped air is a stimulant of platelet and white cell aggregation in this setting.

Anastomotic neointimal hyperplasia contributes to 70% to 90% of failures in access biografts. The development of this process appears currently to be independent of the type of graft used: Controversy continues about whether compliance mismatch between the stiff graft and the flexible host vein or artery participates in this process.<sup>99</sup> Different grafts seem to have an equivalent prevalence of neointimal hyperplasia. Therefore, hydraulic mechanisms within arteriovenous flow (markedly increased shear forces, flow separation, and turbulence, with resultant damage to formed elements of the blood) may be of critical importance.<sup>100</sup> Again, platelets probably play a substantial role in the pathogenesis of anastomotic neointimal hyperplasia, with aggregation, deposition, and elaboration of various mitogens that stimulate smooth muscle cell proliferation, fibroblast, and proteoglycan migration and deposition characterizing junctional neointimal hyperplasia. The widespread use of erythropoietin and the concurrent anecdotal observation of apparently decreased access graft patency have raised the question of whether alterations in blood rheology or in the possible mitogenic capability of erythropoietin have contributed to this phenomenon.

Active areas of investigation related to grafts include the construction of various composite grafts: Dacron/silicone rubber to permit a "no leak," immediately puncturable graft; Dacron or ePTFE/polyglycolic acid "hybrids" to encourage orderly healing following biodegradation of a material filling graft pores; and plasma polymerization of Teflon grafts to improve thromboresistance.<sup>101,102</sup> Albumin coating of Dacron grafts permits their insertion without preclotting and may provide better graft healing.<sup>95</sup> Surface bonding of materials such as heparin or tissue plasminogen activator, if durable, could also provide a reliable nonclotting surface, and permanent bonding of carbon could make a truly nonwettable (and hence nonthrombogenic) surface.

## Conclusions

Although the optimal vascular conduit for hemodialysis is undeniably an arterialized autogenous subcutaneous vein, only a minority of end-stage renal disease patients can count on such access. The remainder require implantation of artificial or bio-material conduit. The majority of current arteriovenous grafts are made of PTFE. However, in view of all the problems associated with PTFE grafts on one side and no alternative biological materials on the other, this surgical success has created 2 untoward consequences: high rates of arteriovenous access thrombosis and infection. This alarming process has to be regarded as an interdisciplinary call to action. Thus, continuation of this clinical practice means further enormous costs and patients' morbidity and mortality. This outlook requires new concepts to improve vascular access materials.

## REFERENCES

- Brescia MJ, Cimino JE, Appel K, et al. Chronic hemodialysis using venipuncture and a surgically created arteriovenous fistula. *N Engl J Med* 1966;275:1089-92.
- Kaplan T, Sherman RA. A brief history of vascular access for hemodialysis: an unfinished story. *Semin Nephrol* 1997;17:239-45.
- U.S. Renal Data System. U.S. Renal Data System 1997 annual report. Chap. 10. Washington, DC: U.S. Renal Data System;1997. p. 143-61.
- Feldman HI, Kobrin S, Wasserstein A. Hemodialysis vascular access morbidity. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 1996;7:523-35.
- Feldman HI, Held PJ, Hutchinson JT, et al. Hemodialysis vascular access morbidity in the United States. *Kidney Int* 1993;43:1091-6.
- Sarnak MJ, Jaber BL. Mortality caused by sepsis in patients with end-stage renal disease compared with the general population. *Kidney Int* 2000;58:1758-64.
- Bloembergen WE, Port FK. Epidemiological perspective on infections in chronic dialysis patients. *Adv Ren Replace Ther* 1996;3:201-7.
- Churchill DN, Taylor DW, Cook RJ, et al. Canadian hemodialysis morbidity study. *Am J Kidney* 1992;19:214-34.
- Powe NR, Jaar B, Furth SL, et al. Septicemia in dialysis patients: incidence, risk factors, and prognosis. *Kidney Int* 1999;55:1081-90.
- Kessler M, Hoen B, Mayeux D, et al. Bacteremia in patients on chronic hemodialysis: a multicenter prospective survey. *Nephron* 1998;64:95-100.
- Marr KA, Kong L, Fowler VG, et al. Incidence and outcome of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia in hemodialysis patients. *Kidney Int* 1998;54:1684-9.
- Bonomoo RA, Rice D, Whalen C, et al. Risk factors associated with permanent access-site infections in chronic hemodialysis patients. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1997;18:757-61.
- Connolly JE, Brownell DA, Levine EF, et al. Complications of renal dialysis access procedures. *Arch Surg* 1984;119:1325-8.
- Munda R, First MR, Alexander JW, et al. Polytetrafluoroethylene graft survival in hemodialysis. *JAMA* 1983;249:219-22.
- Schwab SJ, Beathard G. The hemodialysis catheter conundrum: Hate living with them, but can't live without them. *Kidney Int* 1999;56:1-17.
- Enzler MA, Rajmon T, Lachat M, et al. Long-term function of vascular access for hemodialysis. *Clin Transplant* 1996;10:511-5.

17. Kherlakian GM, Roedeheimer LR, Arbaugh JJ, et al. Comparison of autogenous fistula versus expanded polytetrafluoroethylene graft fistula for angioaccess in hemodialysis. *Am J Surg* 1986;152:238-43.
18. Reilly DT, Wood RFM, Bell PFM, et al. Prospective study of dialysis fistulas: problem patients and their treatment. *Br J Surg* 1982;69:549-53.
19. Palder SB, Kirkman RL, Whitmore AD, et al. Vascular access for hemodialysis: patency rates and results of revision. *Ann Surg* 1985;202:235-9.
20. Wedgewood KR, Wiggins PA, Guillou PJ. A prospective study of end-to-side vs. side-to-side arteriovenous fistulas for haemodialysis. *Br J Surg* 1984;71:640-2.
21. Gracz KC, Ing TS, Soung LS, et al. Proximal forearm fistula for maintenance hemodialysis. *Kidney Int* 1977;11:71-5.
22. Cascardo S, Acciaro S, Beven EG, et al. Proximal arteriovenous fistulas for haemodialysis when radial arteries are unavailable. *Proc Eur Dial Transplant Assoc* 1970;7:42-6.
23. Dunlop MG, Mackinlay JY, Jenkins AM. Vascular access: experience with the brachiocephalic fistula. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 1986;68:203-6.
24. Polo JR, Lago M, Goicoechea M, et al. Antecubital arteriovenous fistula for hemodialysis. *Nefrologia* 1993;13:60-5.
25. Elcherth J, de Pauw L, Kinneart P. Elbow arteriovenous fistulas for chronic haemodialysis. *Br J Surg* 1994;81:982-4.
26. Cantelmo NL, LoGerfo FW, Menzoian JO. Brachiocephalic and brachiocephalic fistulas as secondary angioaccess routes. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1982;155:545-8.
27. Kinneart P, Vereerstraeten P, Toussaint C, et al. Nine years' experience with internal arteriovenous fistulas for haemodialysis: a study of some factors influencing the results. *Br J Surg* 1977;64:242-6.
28. Taylor SM, Eaves GL, Weatherford DA, et al. Results and complications of arteriovenous access dialysis grafts of the lower extremity: a five year review. *Am Surg* 1996;62:188-91.
29. Rivers SP, Scher LA, Weith FJ. Correction of steal syndrome secondary to hemodialysis access fistulas: a simplified quantitative technique. *Surgery* 1992;112:593-7.
30. Berman SS, Gentile AT, Glickman MH, et al. Distal revascularization—interval ligation for limb salvage and maintenance of dialysis access in ischemic steal syndrome. *J Vasc Surg* 1997;26:393-404.
31. Schanzer H, Skladany M, Haimov M. Treatment of angioaccess-induced ischemia by revascularization. *J Vasc Surg* 1992;16:861-6.
32. Rivers SP, Sche LA, Sheehan E, et al. Basilic vein transposition: an underused autologous alternative to prosthetic dialysis angioaccess. *J Vasc Surg* 1993;18:391-7.
33. Murphy GJ, White SA, Knight AJ, et al. Long-term results of the transposed autologous brachiocephalic fistula for haemodialysis. *Br J Surg* 2000;87:819-23.
34. Coburn MC, Carney WI. Comparison of basilic vein and polytetrafluoroethylene for brachial arteriovenous fistula. *J Vasc Surg* 1994;20:896-904.
35. Culp K, Taylor L, Hulme PA. Geriatric hemodialysis patients: a comparative study of vascular access. *J Am Nephrol Nurses Assoc* 1996;23:583-90.
36. Lin SL, Huang CH, Chen HS, et al. Effects of age and diabetes on blood flow rate and primary outcome of newly created hemodialysis arteriovenous fistulas. *Am J Nephrol* 1998;18:96-100.
37. Ghantous WN, Bailey GL, Zschaack D, et al. Long-term hemodialysis in the elderly. *ASAIO Trans* 1971;17:125-8.
38. Rathuas M, Bernheim JL. Are your elderly patients good candidates for dialysis? *Geriatrics* 1978;33:56-6.
39. Hakaim AG, Nalbandian M, Scott T. Superior maturation and patency of primary brachiocephalic and transposed basilic vein arteriovenous fistulae in patients with diabetes. *J Vasc Surg* 1998;27:154-7.
40. Kumpe DA, Cohen MAH. Angioplasty/thrombolytic treatment of failing and failed hemodialysis access site: comparison with surgical treatment. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 1992;34:263-78.
41. Swedburg SH, Brown BG, Sigley R, et al. Intimal fibromuscular hyperplasia at the venous anastomoses of PTFE grafts in hemodialysis patients: clinical, immunocytochemical, light and electron microscopic assessment. *Circulation* 1989;80:1726-36.
42. Sottirai VS, Yao JST, Flinn WR, et al. Intimal hyperplasia and neointima: an ultrastructural analysis of thrombosed grafts in humans. *Surgery* 1983;93:809-17.
43. Davies MG, Hagen PO. Pathobiology of intimal hyperplasia. *Br J Surg* 1994;81:1254-69.
44. Anderson CB, Gilula LA, Harter HR, et al. Benous angiography and the surgical management of subcutaneous hemodialysis fistulas. *Ann Surg* 1978;187:194-204.
45. Schwab SJ, Quaarles LD, Middleton JP, et al. Hemodialysis-associated subclavian vein stenosis. *Kidney Int* 1988;33:1156-9.
46. Sukhatme VP. Vascular access stenosis: prospects for prevention and therapy. *Kidney Int* 1996;49:1161-74.
47. Dagher FJ, Gelber RL, Ramos EJ, et al. Basilic vein to brachial artery fistula: a new access for chronic hemodialysis. *South Med J* 1976;69:1438-40.
48. Tokars JI, Miller ER, Alter MJ, et al. National surveillance of dialysis associated diseases in the United States, 1995. *ASAIO J* 1998;44:98-107.
49. Zibari GB, Gadallah MR, Landreneau M, et al. Preoperative vancomycin prophylaxis decreases incidence of postoperative hemodialysis vascular access infections. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1997;30:343-8.
50. Raju S. PTFE grafts for hemodialysis access: techniques for insertion manage complications. *Ann Surg* 1987;206:666-73.
51. Volder JG, Kirkham RL, Kolff WJ. A-V shunts created ni new ways. *ASAIO Trans* 1973;19:38-42.
52. Schuman ES, Gross GF, Hayes JF, et al. Long-term patency of polytetrafluoroethylene graft fistulas. *Am J Surg* 1988;644-6.
53. Schwab SJ, Harrington JT, Singh A, et al. Vascular access for hemodialysis. *Kidney Int* 1999;55:2078-90.
54. Choundhury D, Lee J, Elivera HS, et al. Correlation of venography, venous pressure, and hemoaccess function. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1995;25:269-75.
55. Berkoben M, Schwab SJ. Maintenance of permanent hemodialysis vascular access patency. *J Am Nephrol Nurses Assoc* 1995;22:17-24.
56. Hofstra L, Bergmans DC, Leunissen KM, et al. Prosthetic arteriovenous fistula and venous anastomotic stenosis: influence of a high flow velocity on the development of intimal hyperplasia. *Blood Purif* 1996;14:345-9.
57. Chen C, Lumsden AB, Offenloch JC, et al. Phosphorylcholine coating of ePTFE grafts reduces neointimal hyperplasia in canine model. *Ann Vasc Surg* 1997;11:74-9.
58. Fortunato JE, Glagov S, Bassiouny HS. Biomechanical factors as regulators of biological responses to vascular grafts. *Semin Vasc Surg* 1999;12:27-37.
59. Randone B, Cucina A, Graziano P, et al. Suppression of smooth muscle cell proliferation after experimental PTFE arterial grafting: a role for polyclonal anti-basali fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) antibody. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 1998;16:401-7.
60. Almeida JL, Liem TK, Silver D. Heparin-bonded grafts induce platelet aggregation I the presence of heparin-associated antiplatelet antibodies. *J Vasc Surg* 1998;17:896-900.
61. Kaufmann BR, Fox PL, Graham LM. Platelet-derived growth factor production by canine aortic grafts seeded with endothelial cells. *J Vasc Surg* 1992;15:699-706.
62. Khadra MH, Dwyer AJ, Thompson JF. Advantages of polytetrafluoroethylene arteriovenous loops in the thigh for hemodialysis access. *Am J Surg* 1997;173:280-3.
63. Bhandari S, Wilkinson A, Sellars L. Saphenous vein forearm grafts and goretex thigh grafts as alternative forms of vascular access. *Clin Nephrol* 1995;44:325-8.
64. Rosenberg N, Henderson K, Lord GH, et al. An arterial prosthesis of heterologous vascular origin. *J Am Med Assoc* 1996;277:741-3.
65. Rosenberg N, Martinez A, Sawyer PN, et al. Tanned collagen arterial prosthesis of bovine carotid origin in man: preliminary studies of enzyme-treated heterografts. *Ann Surg* 1966;164:247-56.
66. Falco RJ. Immunologic studies of untreated and chemically modified bovine carotid arteries. *J Surg Res* 1970;10:95-100.
67. Hertzner NR, Beven EG. Venous access using the bovine carotid heterograft. *Arch Surg* 1978;113:696-700.

68. Rolley RT, Sterioff S, Williams GM. Arteriovenous fistulas for dialysis using modified bovine arteries. *Surg Gynecol Obst* 1976;142:700-4.
69. Sannella NA, Mehgian JT, Pennell JP, et al. Initial approach to blood access for the chronic hemodialysis patient—the bovine heterograft. *ASAIO Trans* 1976;211:394-6.
70. Butler HG, Baker LD, Hohanson JM. Vascular access for chronic hemodialysis: polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) versus bovine heterograft. *Hemodialysis* 1977;134:791-3.
71. Johansen K, Lyman D, Sauvage L. Biomaterials for hemodialysis access. *Blood Purif* 1994;12:73-77.
72. Matsuura JH, Johansen KH, Rosenthal D, et al. Cryopreserved femoral vein grafts for difficult hemodialysis access. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2000;14:50-55.
73. Baraldi A, Bonucchi D, Di Felice A, et al. Liquid nitrogen snap frozen saphenous vein for vascular access in dialysis. *ASAIO Trans* 1991;37(3):M225-7.
74. Baraldi A, Manenti A, Di Felice A, et al. Absence of rejection in cryopreserved saphenous vein allografts for hemodialysis. *ASAIO Trans* 1989;37(3):196-7.
75. Bosman PJ, Blankstijn PJ, van der Graaf, et al. A comparison between PTFE and denatured homologous vein grafts for hemodialysis access: a prospective randomised multicentre trial. The SMASH study group. Study of graft materials in access for hemodialysis. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 1998;16:126-32.
76. Harvey R, Bredenberg CE, Couper L, et al. Aspirin enhances platelet-derived growth factor-induced vascular smooth muscle cells. *J Vasc Surg* 1997;25:689-95.
77. Merrill EX, Salzman EW. Properties of materials affecting the behavior of blood at their surfaces. In: Sawyer PN, editor. *Vascular grafts*. New York: **Appelton-Century-Crofts**;1978. p. 119-29.
78. Krause TJ, Robertson FM, Liesch JB, et al. Differential production of interleukin-1 on the surface of biomaterials. *Arch Surg* 1990;125:1158-60.
79. Back MR, White RA. The biologic response of prosthetic dialysis grafts. In: Wilson SE, editor. *Vascular access—principles and practice*. St. Louis: **Mosby Year Book**;1996. p. 137.
80. Sreedhara R, Himmelfarb J, Lazarus JM, et al. Antiplatelet therapy in graft thrombosis: the results of a prospective randomised double blind study. *Kidney* 1994;45:1477-83.
81. Himmelfarb J, Couper L. Dipyridamole inhibits PDGF and bFGF-induced vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation. *Kidney Int* 1997;52:1671-7.
82. Madan M, Alexander DJ, McMahon MJ. Influence of catheter type on occurrence of thrombophlebitis during peripheral intravenous nutrition. *Lancet* 1992;339:101-3.
83. Andrade EA. Blood-materials interactions: 20 years of frustration. *Trans Am Soc Artif Internal Organs* 1981;27:659.
84. Woodle ES. Surgical versus radiologic intervention for arteriovenous graft thrombosis/stenosis: the case for surgery. In: Henry ML, editor. *Vascular access for hemodialysis*. Chicago: **Precept**;1997. p. 53.
85. Trerotola SO. Surgical versus radiologic intervention for arteriovenous graft thrombosis/stenosis: the case for radiology. In: Henry ML, editor. *Vascular access for hemodialysis*. Chicago: **Precept**;1997. p. 63.
86. Besarab A, Frinak S, Lubkowski T, et al. Is it time to abandon access recirculation (AR) as a test of access dysfunction? *J Am Soc Nephrol* 1997;8:153.
87. Mattana J, Effiong C, Kapasi A, et al. Leukocyte-polytetrafluoroethylene interaction enhances proliferation of vascular smooth muscle cells via tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  secretion. *Kidney Int* 1997;52:1478-85.
88. Margiotta MS, Robertson FM, Bijur G, et al. Protein kinase C-mediated inhibition of leukocyte binding to endothelial cells adherent to vascular grafts. *Surg Forum* 1991;42:360.
89. Windus DW, Atkinson R, Santoro S. The effect of hemodialysis on platelet activation with new and reprocessed regenerated cellulose dialysers. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1996;27:387-93.
90. Golden MA, Hanson SR, Kirkman TR, et al. Healing of polytetrafluoroethylene arterial grafts is influenced by graft porosity. *J Vasc Surg* 1990;11:838.
91. Hirabayashi J, Saitoh E, Iijima H, et al. Influence of fibril length upon ePTFE graft healing and host modification of the implant. *J Biomed Mater Res* 1992;26:1433.
92. Palder SB, Kirkman RL, Whittemore AD, et al. Vascular access for hemodialysis: patency rates and results of revision. *Ann Surg* 1985;202:235-9.
93. Dadrik A, Liu A, Ballerman BJ. Shear stress enhances cell retention and decreases neointimal hyperplasia on endothelial-seeded dialysis grafts. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 1997;8:155A.
94. Jankowski RJ, Sevryn DA, Vorp DA, et al. Effect of retroviral transduction on human endothelial cell phenotype and adhesion to Dacron vascular grafts. *J Vasc Surg* 1997;26:676-84.
95. Lyman DJ, Knutson K, McNeal B, et al. Effective chemical structure and surface properties of synthetic polymers on the coagulation of blood. IV. The relation between polymer morphology and protein absorption. *Trans Am Soc Artif Internal Organs* 1975;21:49-60.
96. Grinnel F. Blood materials interactions: absorption of fibronectin. Summary of the Devices and Technology Branch Contractors Meeting December 1982. *NIH Pub. No. 84-1651*. National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute 1984:141-2.
97. White RA. Evaluation of small diameter graft parameters using replamine form vascular prostheses. In: Wright CB, editor. *Vascular grafting*. Boston: **Wright**;1983.
98. Merrill EW. Properties of materials affecting the behavior of blood at their surfaces. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1977;283:6-16.
99. Megerman J, Abbott WM. Compliance in vascular grafts. In: Wright CB, editor. *Vascular grafting*. Boston: **Wright**;1983.
100. Shu MCS, Noon GP, Hwang HCS. Flow profiles and wall shear stress distribution at a hemodialysis venous anastomosis: preliminary study. *Biorheology* 1987;24:723-35.
101. Helling TS, Nelson PW, Shelton L. A prospective evaluation of plasma TFE and expanded PTFE grafts for routine and early use as vascular access during hemodialysis. *Ann Surg* 1992;216:596-9.
102. Farmer DL, Goldstine J, Ehrenfeld WK, et al. Failure of glo-discharge polymerization onto woven Dacron to improve performance of hemodialysis graft. *J Vasc Surg* 1993;18:570-6.